

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/776,076	DATTA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Thanhha Pham	2813	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thanhha Pham.

(3) ____.

(2) Michael Bernadicou.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7/19/05 & 7/21/05

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 12, 18 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Bhattacharya [US 4,514,751]

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amendments to independent claims 12, 18 and 21 to put the application in condition of allowance as followed:

- In claim 12,
line 4, after "the metal adhesion first layer" insert - under conditions to impart a compressive stress therein -
- In claim 18,
line 6, after "layer" insert -- under conditions to impart a compressive stress therein -
- In claim 21,
line 5, after "layer" insert -- , the metal second layer comprising copper being formed under conditions to impart a compressive stress therein -- .